

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Vignua 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov.

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/717,512	11/22,2000	Masanobu Ohkata	P107350-00003 2062		
7:	590 07 30 2003				
Arent Fox Kintner Plotkin & Kahn PLLC 1050 Connecticut Avenue N W			EXAMINER		
Suite 600	ut Avenue N W	BARRY, CHESTER T			
Washington, DC 20036-5339			ART UNIT PAPER N		
			1724	·	
			DATE MAILED: 07/30/2003	lit	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application N	0.	Applicant(s)	
•		09/717,512		OHKATA ET AL	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	
		Chester T. Bar	·rv	1724	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication				ess
I HE N - Exter after: - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 is SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day period for reply is specified above the maximum statutory re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by eply received by the Office later than three months after the d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1 704(b)	TON. CFR 1 136(a) In no event history in the statutory in period will apply and will exply statute cause the application a mailing date of this communication.	owever may a reply be tim minimum of thirty (30) day; re SIX (6) MONTHS from n to become ABANDONE!	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this comm 1.3511.5 C 8.123	unication
	Responsive to communication(s) filed of	6/70/07			
1)[🗓	Responsive to communication(s) filed of	n			
2a) 🗌		This action is non			
	Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice used on of Claims	ınder <i>Ex parte Quayl</i>	formal matters, pro e, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	osecution as to the m 53 O.G. 213.	ierits is
4) 🔀	Claim(s) $\frac{2^{-1}4}{}$ is/are pending in the app	olication.			
4	fa) Of the above claim(s) is/are wi	thdrawn from conside	eration.		
5)🔀	(4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wire Claim(s) is/are allowed. $2, 4$.	5, 7, 8, 14			
6)	Claim(s) is/are rejected.				
7) 🔯	Claim(s) <u>3.6,413</u> is/are objected to.				
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election requir	ement.		
Application	on Papers				
9) 🗌 T	he specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.			
10)□ T	he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) ☐ obje	cted to by the Exan	niner.	
II	Applicant may not request that any objection	n to the drawing(s) be h	eld in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11)∐ T	he proposed drawing correction filed on _			ved by the Examiner.	
	If approved, corrected drawings are required		ction.		
	he oath or declaration is objected to by the	ne Examiner.			
	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13) 🗌 /	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	oreign priority under (35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).	
a)[] All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:				
•	 Certified copies of the priority docu 	ments have been rec	eived.		
2	2. Certified copies of the priority docu	ments have been red	eived in Applicatio	n No	
	B. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internationate the attached detailed Office action for a	al Bureau (PCT Rule	17.2(a)).		je
	knowledgment is made of a claim for dor				dication)
a)	☐ The translation of the foreign languag	e provisional applica	tion has been rece	ived.	ilication).
Attachment(s		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
2) Notice 3) Informa	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No		Interview Summary (Notice of Informal Pa Other:	PTO-413) Paper No(s)atent Application (PTO-152	2)
Patent and Trac O-326 (Rev.		ce Action Summary	P	Part of Paper No 14	

The After final amendment filed 6/30/03 has been entered.

Regretfully, the examiner had not noticed that at least one of claims 2 – 14 was dependent on then-rejected claim 1. Accordingly, it was inappropriate for the undersigned to have indicated in the last Office action that all of claims 2 – 14 were "allowed." The claims dependent on rejected claim 1 should have been objected to as being dependent on a rejected base claim. Claim 1 has since been cancelled. Accordingly, prosecution is re-opened so that the following objections may be made.

Claims 3, 6, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13 are objected to as being dependent directly or indirectly on cancelled claim 1. If these claims were amended to recite the limitations of claim 1 (as it stood immediately prior to its cancellation) in combination with the limitations specifically recited in claims 3, 6, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, then claims 3, 6, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13 would be allowed.

Claims 2, 4, 5, 7, 8, 14 are allowed.

TB7

CHESTER T. BARRY
PRIMARY EXAMINER

703-306-5921